Application No. 10/015,902 Amendment dated April 7, 2008 Reply to Office Action of January 7, 2008

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Prior to entry of this amendment, the application included claims 1-66. No claims have been amended, canceled or added. Hence, after entry of this Amendment, claims 1-66 stand pending for examination.

Applicants note that reference FR 2,747,208 listed on the Information Disclosure Statement mailed March 18, 2002, was not considered by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner review reference FR 2,747,208 and return an initialed SB/08 to the undersigned attorney. Copies of the Information Disclosure Statement, the PTO stamped postcard acknowledging receipt, and the cited reference are enclosed for the Examiner's convenience.

Claims 1-64 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,446 to Kausik et al. ("Kausik '446").

Claims 1-64 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-95 of U.S. Patent No. 6,170,058 to Kausik ("Kausik '058").

Claims 1-64 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-20, 23-31 and 34-43 of co-pending U.S. Application No. 09/874,795 to Hird ("Hird").

The Office Action does not specifically address claims 65 and 66. The Applicants respectfully request clarification regarding the allowability of claims 65 and 66.

### **Double Patenting**

The Applicants again respectfully traverse the double patenting rejection of all claims. The claims of the cited patents do not teach or suggest the elements of the pending claims of the instant application. In responding to the Applicants' prior arguments along these lines, the Office Action directs attention to the specification. This appears to be an improper rejection. Moreover, the Office Action draws improper conclusions without providing appropriate analysis in support thereof, as described in greater detail below.

In rejecting claims 1-64 in view of claims 1-52 of Kausik '446, the Office Action states "the element 'wherein said candidate secret comprises a pseudo-valid secret configured to camouflage said secret if said candidate password is not said password' and 'said candidate secret has the structural form of a private key', is referred in the patent claims as 'said server is configured to store said authentication credential in cryptographically camouflaged form.'" This confirms that the claims of Kausik '446 DO NOT anticipate the claims of the instant application, because the quoted elements are not the same.

In responding to the Applicants' prior arguments along these lines, the Office Action directs attention to the instant specification. This fails to cure the improper rejection. All pending claims are, therefore, believed to be allowable over Kausik '446.

Similarly, the Office Action makes an improper conclusion, unsupported by any analysis, that the claims of Kausik '058 teach all of the claim elements. This is not the case. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 1-64 over Kausik '058. The Applicants believe claims 1-64 to be allowable over Kausik '058.

The Applicants acknowledge and respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 1-64 over Hird. The claims of Hird do not teach or suggest all claim elements, and the Office Action does not provide any analysis supporting the conclusion that the instant claims are anticipated. Hence, the Applicants believe claims 1-64 to be patentable over Hird.

### Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants believe all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/015,902 Amendment dated April 7, 2008 Reply to Office Action of January 7, 2008

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 7, 2008 /Irvin E. Branch/

Irvin E. Branch Reg. No. 42,358

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

Tel: 303-571-4000 Fax: 415-576-0300

IEB/jln 61302477 v1

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Under 37 CFR 1.97(b) or 1.97(c))  Docket No. 028410-0017CIP								
In Re Application Of: S. Rajasekaran et al.								
	Serial No. Filing Date Examiner Group Art Unit							
10/015,902 October 30, 2001 Unassigned								
Title: Method and Apparatus for Camouflaging of Data, Information and Functional Transformations								
Address to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231								
		37 C	CFR 1.97(b)					
1. Mathematical Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed within three months of the filing of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d); within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR 1.491 in an international application; before the mailing of a first Office Action on the merits, or before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.								
		37 0	CFR 1.97(c)					
2.	□ The Information Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed after the period specified in 37 CFR 1.97(b), provided that the Information Disclosure Statement is filed before the mailing date of a Final Action under 37 CFR 1.131, a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an Action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by one of:							
	☐ the state	ment specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e)	;					
		OR						
the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p).								

# TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Under 37 CFR 1.97(b) or 1.97(c))

Docket No. 028410-0017CTP

(U	028410-0017CIP						
In Re Application: S	. Rajasekaran et al.						
Serial No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
10/015,902	October 30, 2001	Unassigned	2182				
Method and Apparatus for Camouflaging of Data, Information and Functional Transformations							
Payment of Fee  (Only complete if Applicant elects to pay the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p))  A check in the amount of is attached.  The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 19-2385 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  Charge the amount of  Credit any overpayment.							
Certificate of	Transmission by Facsimile*	Certificate of Mailin	ng by First Class Mail				
	nent and authorization to charge deposit imile transmitted to the United States Office (F	MARCH IF. 2002 as first class mail und addressed to the Assistan Washington, D.C. 20231.  Signature of Pers	at and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service fer 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is it Commissioner for Patents,				
T 1. P	V (D (C) 1 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2 (2	Jan Steele					
*This certificate m deposit account.	agher & Flom LLP 01 00	Typed or Printed Name of Dated: March 18, 2002					
cc:							

#### cket Number (Optional) Application Number 028410-0017 10/015,902 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Applicant(s) S. Rajasekaran et al. (Use several sheets if necessary) Filing Date Group Art Unit October 30, 2001 2182 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS EXAMINER DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE NAME CLASS SUBCLASS FILING DATE INITIAL IF APPROPRIATE 5,206,905 4/1993 Lee et al. 380 11/19/90 5,375,169 12/1994 Scheidt et al. 380 21 5/28/93 5,604,801 2/1997 Dolan et al. 380 21 2/3/95 5,745,574 4/1998 Muftic 380 23 12/15/95 5,761,306 6/1998 Lewis 380 21 2/22/96 5,787,173 7/1998 Scheidt et al. 380 21 3/12/97 5,818,936 10/1998 Mashavekhi 380 25 3/15/96 5,894,519 4/1999 Clemot et al. 23 380 4/9/97

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

12/1999

6,002,768

F	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translation	
						YES	NO
	WO 96/02993	2/1996	wo	H04L	9/32		
	2,747,208	10/1997	France	G06F	1/00	1	

Albanese et al.

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

380

25

5/7/96

	Bruce Schneier, "Applied Cryptography: Protocols, Algorithms, and Source Code in C," 1st edition, John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1992) pages 415-417 (section 17.3)

EXAMINER DATE CONSIDERED

Translation of FR 2,747,208

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

	vi vi	Docket Number (Optional)	Application Number
		028410-0017CaP	10/015,902
INFO	RMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION (Use several sheets if necessary)	Applicant(s) S. Rajasekaran et al.	
		Filing Date	Group Art Unit
		October 30, 2001	2182
*EXAMINER INITIAL	OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author		
DITIAL	TECSEC, "Enhancing Security Technologies, Technology Overview," 37 pages, 6/5/01.		tact Us," and "Products/CKM
	,		
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED	
*EXAMINER: In not considered. In	itial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in con Include copy of this form with next communication to applic	formance with MPEP Section 609; Draw lin cant.	ne through citation if not in conformance and

Docket No.: 028410-0017CIP Serial No.: 10/015,902

Mailed: Mar. 18, 2002

The return of this stamped self-addressed postcard will acknowledge receipt in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the following documents:

- Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement (2 pp.; original & 1 copy)
- 2. Information Disclosure Citation 1449 (2 sheets)
- 3. 13 references



SASM&F

APR 08 2002

**RECEIVED**